

Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797

www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact: Cathal Conneely, 415-865-7740 December 15, 2017

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of December 11, 2017

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#17-340 People v. Alvarez, S245169. (H043234; nonpublished opinion; Santa Cruz County Superior Court; F22948, F23933, F25028, F25120.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order granting in part and denying in part a petition to recall sentence.

#17-341 People v. Robinson, S245072. (B268614; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; LA071762.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal denied a post-judgment motion for resentencing.

#17-342 People v. Rosales, S245125. (D070072; nonpublished opinion; San Diego County Superior Court; SCD171537.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed orders granting and denying petitions to recall sentence.

#17-343 People v. Trimble, S245217. (A147345; nonpublished opinion; Solano County Superior Court; FCR291074.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed an order granting part and denying in part a petition to recall sentence.

The court ordered briefing in *Alvarez*, *Robinson*, *Rosales*, and *Trimble* deferred pending decision in *People v. Valenzuela*, S232900 (#16-97), which presents the following issue: Is a defendant eligible for resentencing on the penalty enhancement for serving a prior prison term on a felony conviction after the superior court has reclassified the underlying felony as a misdemeanor under the provisions of Proposition 47?

#17-344 People v. Pineda, S244451. (B267885; 14 Cal.App.5th 469; Los Angeles County Superior Court; TA133930.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal

conditionally reversed and remanded a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Mendoza*, S241647 (#17-208), which presents the following issue: Are the provisions of Proposition 57 that eliminated the direct filing of certain juvenile cases in adult court applicable to cases not yet final on appeal?

#17-345 People v. Puckett, S245273. (D070928; nonpublished opinion; San Diego County Superior Court; SCS282517.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in People v. Ruiz, S235556 (#16-312), which presents the following issue: May a trial court properly impose a criminal laboratory analysis fee (Health & Saf. Code, § 11372.5, subd. (a)) and a drug program fee (Heath & Saf. Code, § 11372.7, subd. (a)) based on a defendant's conviction for conspiracy to commit certain drug offenses?

#17-346 People v. Roldan, S245221. (H043767; nonpublished opinion; Monterey County Superior Court; SS141673.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *In re Ricardo P.*, S230923 (#16-41), which presents the following issue: Did the trial court err imposing an "electronics search condition" on minor as a condition of his probation when it had no relationship to the crimes he committed but was justified on appeal as reasonably related to future criminality under *People v. Olguin* (2008) 45 Cal.4th 375 because it would facilitate his supervision?

#17-347 Williams v. Superior Court, S245314. (C083126; 15 Cal.App.5th 1049; San Joaquin County Superior Court; STKCRFE20160006123.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal granted a petition for writ of mandate. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in Avitia v. Superior Court, S242030 (#17-199), which presents the following issue: Was defendant denied a "substantial right" (People v. Standish (2006) 38 Cal.4th 858, 882) by the prosecutor's improper dismissal of a grand juror?

###

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.